

# On a conjecture about numerators of the Bernoulli numbers

Bernd C. Kellner

## Abstract

In this paper we disprove a conjecture about numerators of divided Bernoulli numbers  $B_n/n$  and  $B_n/n(n-1)$  which was suggested by Roland Bacher. We give some counterexamples. Subsequently we extend the results to the general case.

**Keywords:** Bernoulli number, Kummer congruences, irregular pair, Chinese remainder theorem

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:** 11B68

## 1 Introduction

Let  $B_n$  be the  $n$ -th Bernoulli number with  $n \geq 0$ . They are defined by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi$$

where all numbers  $B_n$  are zero with odd index  $n > 1$ . Therefore, we will consider only even indices concerning Bernoulli numbers throughout this paper. These numbers play an important role in several topics in mathematics. Here, we are interested in the numbers

$$\frac{B_n}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_n}{n(n-1)}.$$

The latter numbers occur, e.g., in approximation formulas of harmonic numbers  $H_n$  resp. Stirling's approximation of  $\log \Gamma(x)$ , see [2, pp. 480–482].

For rational numbers  $r$  we use the representation  $r = a/b$  where  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $b > 0$ . We define  $\text{num}(r) = |a|$  for the unsigned numerator of  $r$ . The notation  $m \mid r$  where  $m$  is a positive integer means that  $m \mid \text{num}(r)$ ; we shall also write  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  in that case.

Now, we need some basic facts about Bernoulli numbers, see [3, Chapter 15].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime. A pair  $(p, l)$  is called an *irregular pair* if  $p \mid B_l$  with  $2 \leq l \leq p-3$  and even  $l$ . The *index of irregularity* of  $p$  is defined by

$$i(p) := \#\{(p, l) \text{ is an irregular pair} : l = 2, 4, \dots, p-3\}.$$

Then  $p$  is called an *irregular prime* if  $i(p) > 0$ , otherwise a *regular prime*.

Let  $\varphi$  be Euler's totient function. The classical Kummer congruences state for even positive integers  $n, n'$ ,  $p$  prime with  $p - 1 \nmid n$ , and  $n \equiv n' \pmod{\varphi(p)}$  that

$$\frac{B_n}{n} \equiv \frac{B_{n'}}{n'} \pmod{p}. \quad (1.1)$$

It is well known that the numerator  $\text{num}(B_n/n)$  equals 1 for  $n = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14$ ; otherwise the numerator is a product of powers of irregular primes. Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair. Then the Kummer congruences (1.1) provide for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  that

$$p \mid \frac{B_{l+k\varphi(p)}}{l+k\varphi(p)}. \quad (1.2)$$

The following conjecture about numerators of  $B_n/n$  and  $B_n/n(n-1)$  was suggested by Roland Bacher; see The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [5], sequence **A092291**. First values are given by 574, 1269, 1910, 3384, 1185, 1376, 9611. The statements differ by a factor 2, because we use only even indices  $n$  instead of  $2n$ .

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair with smallest index  $l$  in case  $i(p) > 1$ . Define*

$$A(p) = \min_{m \in 2\mathbb{N}} \left\{ m \mid \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = p \right\}.$$

*Then  $A(p) = (l-1)p + 1$ .*

Actually, let  $p_n$  be the  $n$ -th irregular prime (sequence **A000928**: 37, 59, 67, 101, 103, ...), then  $A(p_n)/2$  gives sequence **A092291**.

## 2 Counterexamples

Since Conjecture 1.2 does not cover all irregular pairs, we shall extend our research to all of them. Note that, for example, (157, 62) and (157, 110) are irregular pairs and the index of irregularity is  $i(157) = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair. Define*

$$A(p, l) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\{ m = l + k\varphi(p) \mid \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = p \right\}.$$

*Then  $A(p, l) = (l-1)p + 1$  if and only if one of the following cases holds that*

- (1) *the factor  $l-1$  has no irregular prime factors,*
- (2) *for all irregular prime divisors  $q$  of  $l-1$  we have  $q \nmid B_{(l-1)p+1}/((l-1)p+1)$ .*

*Otherwise  $A(p, l) > (l-1)p + 1$  or  $A(p, l)$  has no solution.*

*Proof.* First of all, we show that  $A(p, l) \geq (l-1)p + 1$ . To solve the equation

$$\text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = p,$$

the factor  $m - 1$  must have the form  $m - 1 = pc$  with some positive integer  $c$  to reduce the  $p$ -power of the second numerator. In other words, we must have

$$\text{ord}_p \text{ num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) = s \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_p \text{ num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = s - 1$$

with some integer  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $m'$  be the smallest possible value we are searching for. By (1.2) we then have  $m' = l + k(p - 1)$  and  $m' - 1 = pc$ . This yields

$$l - 1 + k(p - 1) = pc \quad \text{resp.} \quad k \equiv l - 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By definition we have  $1 < l < p - 2$ . Thus  $k = l - 1$  is the smallest possible value and finally

$$A(p, l) \geq m' = l + (l - 1)(p - 1) = (l - 1)p + 1.$$

Now, we have to take care that  $m' - 1 = (l - 1)p$  does not delete other irregular prime factors of the numerator of  $B_{m'}/m'$ . In the case (1) nothing happens. In the case (2) an irregular prime divisor  $q$  of  $l - 1$  must not appear in the numerator of  $B_{m'}/m'$ . If case (1) or case (2) is satisfied then  $A(p, l) = m'$ .  $\square$

Using Kummer congruences (1.1) and the property (1.2) again, we can now reformulate Conjecture 1.2 to an extended equivalent conjecture which is described only by irregular pairs.

**Conjecture 2.2.** *Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair. If  $q$  is an irregular prime divisor of  $l - 1$  then for all irregular pairs  $(q, l')$  the following holds that*

$$(l - 1)p \not\equiv l' - 1 \pmod{q - 1}.$$

But this conjecture is **not** true. We have done some calculations for all irregular pairs  $(p, l)$  with  $p < 1\,000\,000$  using a database of irregular pairs calculated in [1]. In that range there are 39 181 irregular pairs all together, 16 540 of them have irregular prime divisors of the corresponding  $l - 1$  and 149 exceptions occur. The first five exceptions and the last calculated exception are listed below.

$(p, l)$	$m = (l - 1)p + 1$	$l - 1$	$(q, l')$
(6449, 4884)	31 490 468	$19 \cdot 257$	(257, 164)
(8677, 2658)	23 054 790	2657	(2657, 710)
(11351, 1044)	11 839 094	$7 \cdot 149$	(149, 130)
(12527, 2122)	26 569 768	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 101$	(101, 68)
(15823, 482)	7 610 864	$13 \cdot 37$	(37, 32)
...	...	...	...
(999599, 649768)	649 506 443 434	$3 \cdot 59 \cdot 3671$	(59, 44)

Note that there are two irregular pairs (6449, 4884) and (6449, 5830). The first of them disproves the suggested conjecture with minimal  $l = 4884$ . The smallest index for which such an exception occurs is 7 610 864. This index is the smallest of our calculated exceptions for  $p < 1\,000\,000$ . For an irregular pair  $(p, l)$  with  $p > 1\,000\,000$  we then obtain an index  $m = (l - 1)p + 1 > 37 \cdot 10^6$  for a possible exception, because 37 is the first irregular prime.

### 3 Extending the results to prime powers

In order to extend the results to irregular prime powers, we need some further definitions and generalizations. First, the Kummer congruences generally state for  $r \geq 1$ , even  $n, n'$ ,  $p$  prime with  $p-1 \nmid n$ , and  $n \equiv n' \pmod{\varphi(p^r)}$  that

$$(1-p^{n-1})\frac{B_n}{n} \equiv (1-p^{n'-1})\frac{B_{n'}}{n'} \pmod{p^r}. \quad (3.1)$$

The definition of irregular pairs can be extended to irregular prime powers which was introduced by the author [4]. Here we shall recall necessary facts.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $n, l$  be positive integers. A pair  $(p, l)$  is called an *irregular pair of order  $n$*  if  $p^n \mid B_l/l$  with  $2 \leq l < \varphi(p^n)$  and even  $l$ . Let

$$\Psi_n^{\text{irr}} := \{(p, l) : p^n \mid B_l/l, p \text{ is an odd prime}, 2 \leq l < \varphi(p^n), 2 \mid l\}$$

be the set of irregular pairs of order  $n$ . For an odd prime  $p$  the *index* of irregular pairs of order  $n$  is defined by

$$i_n(p) := \#\{(p, l) : (p, l) \in \Psi_n^{\text{irr}}\}.$$

Let  $(p, l_n) \in \Psi_n^{\text{irr}}$  and  $(p, l_m) \in \Psi_m^{\text{irr}}$  be irregular pairs of order  $n$  resp.  $m$  where  $n > m \geq 1$ . We say that  $(p, l_n)$  is *related* to  $(p, l_m)$  if  $l_n \equiv l_m \pmod{\varphi(p^m)}$ . For  $(p, l) \in \Psi_n^{\text{irr}}$  we  $p$ -adically write

$$(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \widehat{\Psi}_n^{\text{irr}} \quad \text{where} \quad l = \sum_{\nu=1}^n s_\nu \varphi(p^{\nu-1})$$

with  $0 \leq s_\nu < p$  for  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$  and  $2 \leq s_1 \leq p-3$ ,  $2 \mid s_1$ . The corresponding set is denoted as  $\widehat{\Psi}_n^{\text{irr}}$ . The pair  $(p, l)$  and the element  $(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  are called *associated*. For an irregular pair  $(p, l)$  we finally define

$$\Delta_{(p,l)} \equiv p^{-1} \left( \frac{B_{l+\varphi(p)}}{l+\varphi(p)} - \frac{B_l}{l} \right) \pmod{p}$$

with  $0 \leq \Delta_{(p,l)} < p$ .

Note that this definition includes for  $n = 1$  the former definition of irregular pairs with  $i(p) = i_1(p)$ . By (3.1) we have the property that if  $(p, l) \in \Psi_n^{\text{irr}}$  then

$$p^n \mid B_{l+k\varphi(p^n)}/(l+k\varphi(p^n)) \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We also call  $(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  an *irregular pair*, since  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is the second parameter given  $p$ -adically. The main result of irregular pairs of higher order can be stated as follows, see [4, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(p, l_1)$  be an irregular pair. If  $\Delta_{(p,l_1)} \neq 0$  then for each  $n > 1$  there exists exactly one related irregular pair of order  $n$ . There is a unique sequence  $(l_n)_{n \geq 1}$  resp.  $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with*

$$(p, l_n) \in \Psi_n^{\text{irr}} \quad \text{resp.} \quad (p, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \widehat{\Psi}_n^{\text{irr}}.$$

*If  $\Delta_{(p,l_{1,\nu})} \neq 0$  for all  $i(p)$  irregular pairs  $(p, l_{1,\nu}) \in \Psi_1^{\text{irr}}$ , then*

$$i(p) = i_2(p) = i_3(p) = \dots$$

So far, no irregular pair  $(p, l)$  with  $\Delta_{(p,l)} = 0$  has yet been found; calculations of Buhler, Crandall, Ernvall, Metsänkylä, and Shokrollahi [1] ensure this result for all irregular  $p < 12\,000\,000$ . Since the case  $\Delta_{(p,l)} = 0$  would possibly imply a strange behavior of irregular pairs of higher order without any regularity, it is conjectured that this will never happen.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair with  $\Delta_{(p,l)} \neq 0$ . Let  $(p, l_r) \in \Psi_r^{\text{irr}}$  resp.  $(p, s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \widehat{\Psi}_r^{\text{irr}}$  be the related irregular pair of order  $r$ . Define*

$$A(p^r, l_r) = \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\{ m = l_r + k\varphi(p^r) \mid \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = p^r \right\}.$$

*Then the condition  $(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) = (p, l, l-1, \dots, l-1)$  resp.  $l_r - 1 = (l-1)p^{r-1}$  is necessary that  $A(p^r, l_r)$  has possibly a solution. Furthermore  $A(p^r, l_r) = (l_r - 1)p + 1 = (l-1)p^r + 1$  if and only if one of the following cases holds that*

- (1) *the factor  $l-1$  has no irregular prime factors,*
- (2) *for all irregular prime divisors  $q$  of  $l-1$ : all irregular pairs  $(q, l')$  must satisfy*

$$(l-1)p^r \not\equiv l' - 1 \pmod{q-1}.$$

*Otherwise  $A(p^r, l_r) > (l-1)p^r + 1$  or  $A(p^r, l_r)$  has no solution.*

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}$  be integers with  $0 \leq s_\nu < p$  for all  $\nu = 1, \dots, n+1$ . If*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n s_\nu \varphi(p^{\nu-1}) = s_{n+1} p^{n-1},$$

*then  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Reordering the terms yields

$$0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^n s_\nu \varphi(p^{\nu-1}) - s_{n+1} p^{n-1} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n (s_\nu - s_{\nu+1}) p^{\nu-1}$$

which deduces the result  $p$ -adically by induction. □

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* The case  $r = 1$  is already covered by Theorem 2.1 with  $(p, l) = (p, l_1) = (p, s_1)$ . For now let  $r \geq 2$ . First we shall show the proposed formula for  $A(p^r, l_r)$ . To solve

$$\text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = p^r,$$

factor  $m-1$  must have the form  $m-1 = p^r c$  with some positive integer  $c$ . Then  $m-1$  must reduce the  $p$ -power of the second numerator in order that

$$\text{ord}_p \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) = u \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_p \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) = u - r$$

with some integer  $u \geq r$ , since  $(p, l_r) \in \Psi_r^{\text{irr}}$ . Let  $m'$  be the smallest possible value. By (3.2) we have  $m' = l_r + k\varphi(p^r)$  and  $m' - 1 = p^r c$  which yields

$$l_r - 1 + kp^{r-1}(p-1) = p^r c \quad \text{and} \quad l_r - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r-1}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Remember that

$$l_r = \sum_{\nu=1}^r s_\nu \varphi(p^{\nu-1}) < \varphi(p^r).$$

Thus we obtain

$$0 < l_r - 1 = p^{r-1} t < p^{r-1}(p-1)$$

with  $0 < t < p-1$ . By rewriting (3.3) we get

$$p^{r-1} t + kp^{r-1}(p-1) = p^r c \quad \text{and} \quad kp^{r-1} \equiv tp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$$

which provides  $k \equiv t \pmod{p}$  and finally  $k = t$  as smallest value. Note that  $l = s_1$  and  $2 \leq l \leq p-3$ . Now, using Lemma 3.4 with  $l_r - 1 = tp^{r-1}$  yields  $s_1 - 1 = s_2 = \dots = s_r = t$ . Thus, we derive the following conditions

$$(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) = (p, l, l-1, \dots, l-1) \quad \text{and} \quad l_r - 1 = (l-1)p^{r-1}.$$

After all, we obtain

$$A(p^r, l_r) - 1 \geq m' - 1 = l_r - 1 + (l-1)\varphi(p^r) = (l-1)p^r = (l-1)p.$$

To avoid that an irregular prime divisor  $q$  of the remaining factor  $l-1$  of  $m' - 1$  divides  $B_{m'}/m'$ , we must have

$$m' \not\equiv l' \pmod{q-1}$$

for all irregular pairs  $(q, l')$ . Then  $A(p^r, l_r) = m'$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(p, l)$  be an irregular pair with  $\Delta_{(p,l)} \neq 0$ . If  $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_2^{\text{irr}}$  then  $A(p^r, l_r)$  as defined in Theorem 3.3 has no solution for all  $r \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* As a result of Theorem 3.2, if  $\Delta_{(p,l)} \neq 0$  then a unique sequence  $(s_\nu)_{\nu \geq 1}$  exists that describes all irregular pairs of higher order related to  $(p, l)$ . Then one has  $(p, s_1, \dots, s_r) \neq (p, l, l-1, \dots, l-1)$  for all  $r \geq 2$ .  $\square$

The condition  $(p, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r) = (p, l, l-1, \dots, l-1)$  is a very strange condition. Note that no  $(p, l, l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_2^{\text{irr}}$  has been found yet. It is quite remarkable that the conditions  $\Delta_{(p,l)} \neq 0$  and  $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_2^{\text{irr}}$  play an important role in Iwasawa theory of cyclotomic fields over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , see [6] and particularly [4, Section 6]. Again, calculations in [1] ensure that  $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_2^{\text{irr}}$  for  $p < 12\,000\,000$ .

**Remark 3.6.** Although the more complicated case  $\Delta_{(p,l)} = 0$  should not happen, Corollary 3.5 is also valid in that case. If  $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_2^{\text{irr}}$  then there are no related irregular pairs  $(p, l, l-1, \dots, l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_r^{\text{irr}}$  for  $r > 2$ . This can be shown by [4, Theorem 3.2].

## 4 The composite case

For completeness we shall examine the composite case. For now, we consider composite integers  $c$  where

$$c = \prod_{\nu=1}^n p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}$$

with irregular primes  $p_{\nu}$  and  $n > 1$ . For now,  $p$  will only denote an irregular prime. To determine the minimal index for the composite case, define

$$\Lambda(d) = \min_{m \in 2\mathbb{N}} \left\{ m \mid \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m} \right) / \text{num} \left( \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \right\};$$

in case of no solution define  $\Lambda(d) = \infty$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1, we always have

$$\Lambda(p) = \min_{(p,l) \in \Psi_{\text{irr}}^1} (l-1)p + 1.$$

Theorem 3.3 asserts for  $r \geq 2$  that

$$\Lambda(p^r) = \min_{(p,l,l-1,\dots,l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{\text{irr}}^r} (l-1)p^r + 1,$$

but there is no solution for  $p < 12\,000\,000$ . Note that  $m = 12$  is the smallest index for which  $\text{num}(B_m/m) > 1$ . Hence, for  $p > 12\,000\,000$  and  $r \geq 2$  we have the estimate

$$\Lambda(p^r) > 1.58 \cdot 10^{15}. \quad (4.1)$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $c = \prod_{\nu} p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}$  with irregular primes  $p_{\nu}$ . Then*

$$\Lambda(c) \geq \max_{\nu} \Lambda(p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Lambda(c) < \Lambda(p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}})$  for a fixed  $\nu$ . But this contradicts the definition of  $\Lambda$ , because  $p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}} \mid c$ . The case of no solution is handled similarly.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the smallest index for composite numbers  $c$  such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \min_c \Lambda(c).$$

By our formerly calculated exceptions, we have an upper bound:

$$\mathcal{M} \leq 7\,610\,864.$$

The estimate (4.1) for prime powers above and Lemma 4.1 imply that we now have to examine composite numbers which are squarefree. Therefore, define the minimal value of  $\Lambda$  for composite squarefree numbers having  $n \geq 2$  irregular prime factors by

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \min_{c=p_1 \cdots p_n} \Lambda(c).$$

Then, by definition we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}_3 \leq \dots$$

For further results we need the well-known Chinese remainder theorem (CRT), see [3, p. 34], and its generalization (CRT').

**Theorem 4.2 (CRT).** Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be positive integers which are pairwise relatively prime. Define  $W = \prod_{\nu=1}^n w_\nu$ . For a given system of simultaneous congruences

$$x \equiv a_\nu \pmod{w_\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n,$$

there always exists a unique integer  $x \pmod{W}$  with

$$x \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^n a_\nu b_\nu \frac{W}{w_\nu} \pmod{W}$$

and  $b_\nu$  defined by

$$b_\nu \frac{W}{w_\nu} \equiv 1 \pmod{w_\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Theorem 4.3 (CRT').** Let  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be positive integers. A system of simultaneous congruences

$$x \equiv a_\nu \pmod{w_\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n$$

has a solution if and only if

$$a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{\gcd(w_i, w_j)}$$

for all  $i \neq j$ . Define  $W = \text{lcm}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ , then  $x$  has a unique solution  $\pmod{W}$ .

To state our next theorem, we introduce a new definition to characterize a set of irregular pairs.

**Definition 4.4.** Irregular pairs  $(p_1, l_1), \dots, (p_n, l_n)$  are called *friendly* if

$$l_i \equiv l_j \pmod{\gcd(p_i - 1, p_j - 1)}$$

for all  $i \neq j$ . They are called *strong friendly* if, in addition,

$$p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_j} \quad \text{or} \quad (p_i, l_i) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p_j}$$

for all  $i \neq j$ .

For example, the irregular pairs  $(37, 32)$ ,  $(59, 44)$  and  $(101, 68)$  are strong friendly. The sets  $\{(101, 68), (607, 592)\}$  and  $\{(131, 22), (263, 100)\}$  are sets of friendly irregular pairs, but they are not strong friendly.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $c = p_1 \cdots p_n$  be a composite number of distinct irregular primes. Then  $\Lambda(c)$  has only a solution if there exists a set of strong friendly irregular pairs  $S = \{(p_1, l_1), \dots, (p_n, l_n)\}$ . In case of existence there is a unique integer  $m_S$  with

$$c \leq m_S - 1 \leq \text{lcm}(c, p_1 - 1, \dots, p_n - 1)$$

which simultaneously solves the congruences

$$m_S - 1 \equiv p_\nu(l_\nu - 1) \pmod{p_\nu(p_\nu - 1)}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then  $\Lambda(c)$  is given by

$$\Lambda(c) = \min_S m_S,$$

whereby  $S$  passes all such sets of strong friendly irregular pairs.

*Proof.* To derive conditions let  $m$  be an integer solving

$$\text{num}\left(\frac{B_m}{m}\right) / \text{num}\left(\frac{B_m}{m(m-1)}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{c}.$$

Thus,  $c \mid B_m/m$  and  $c \mid m-1$  provide the existence of irregular pairs  $(p_\nu, l_\nu)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} m-1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p_\nu}, \\ m-1 &\equiv l_\nu - 1 \pmod{p_\nu - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ . The system (4.2) of simultaneous congruences has only a solution if conditions of CRT' are satisfied. Therefore we have to recognize two cases that

$$\begin{aligned} l_i - 1 &\equiv l_j - 1 \pmod{\gcd(p_i - 1, p_j - 1)}, \\ l_i - 1 &\equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(p_i - 1, p_j)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

which must be valid for all  $i \neq j$ . The first congruence of (4.3) implies that all considered irregular pairs must be friendly. Additionally by the second congruence they must be strong friendly. This property must hold for a solution and defines the set  $S$ . Combining (4.2) by CRT, we get

$$m-1 \equiv p_\nu(l_\nu - 1) \pmod{p_\nu(p_\nu - 1)}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.4)$$

Let  $W = \text{lcm}(p_1(p_1 - 1), \dots, p_n(p_n - 1))$ , then system (4.2) resp. (4.4) has a unique solution (mod  $W$ ) by CRT' and given set  $S$ . Requiring that  $1 \leq m_S - 1 \leq W$ , we obtain a minimal solution  $m_S - 1$  with the desired properties. If  $i(p_\nu) \geq 2$  holds for one index  $\nu$ , then probably other sets  $S$  can exist corresponding to irregular primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ . Therefore all such sets must be considered to get

$$\Lambda(c) = \min_S m_S. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.5 implies the following easy algorithm.

**Algorithm 4.6.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $U$  be positive integers. Given an existing upper bound  $U$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , define  $u = \lfloor U^{1/n} \rfloor$ . Otherwise set  $U = u = \infty$ . Consider irregular primes

$$p_1 < \dots < p_n \quad \text{with} \quad p_1 \cdots p_n < U, \quad p_1 < u. \quad (4.5)$$

Start with smallest primes. For each tuple of primes do

- Step 1. Check for sets  $S = \{(p_1, l_1), \dots, (p_n, l_n)\}$  of strong friendly irregular pairs. For each existing set  $S$  calculate  $m_S$  using Theorem 4.5. Let  $m = \min_S m_S$ . If  $m < U$  update  $U \leftarrow m$  and  $u$ .
- Step 2. If possible go to next primes satisfying (4.5), otherwise stop with  $\mathcal{M}_n = U$ .

Starting with  $n = 2$  and  $U = 7\,610\,864$  yields  $\mathcal{M}_2 = 107\,430$  with  $c = 103 \cdot 149$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M} = 107\,430$  is the smallest index for composite numbers regarding  $\Lambda$ . The result for  $n = 3$  is a quite large number with  $\mathcal{M}_3 = 3\,754\,314\,782$  for  $c = 157 \cdot 401 \cdot 1217$ , see table below. To check this result, irregular pairs  $(p, l)$  up to  $p < 2\,000\,000$  must be considered for the first small primes.

$n$	$S$	$U$	$u$
2	$\{(37, 32), (59, 44)\}$	272 876	522
2	$\{(103, 24), (149, 130)\}$	107 430	327
3	$\{(37, 32), (59, 44), (101, 68)\}$	3 979 497 668	1584
3	$\{(157, 62), (401, 382), (1217, 1118)\}$	3 754 314 782	1554

All results were calculated by several **C++** programs and finally checked with **Mathematica**.

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Tony D. Noe for advising the problem and sequence **A092291**.

## References

- [1] J. Buhler, R. Crandall, R. Ernvall, T. Metsänkylä, and M. A. Shokrollahi, Irregular primes and cyclotomic invariants to 12 million, *J. Symb. Comput.* **31** (2001), no. 1–2, 89–96.
- [2] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [3] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1990.
- [4] B. C. Kellner, On irregular prime power divisors of the Bernoulli numbers, *Math. Comp.*, to appear, 1–42. (Preprint at [arXiv:math.NT/0409223](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0409223)).
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, [The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences \(OEIS\)](https://oeis.org/), 2005.
- [6] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1997.

REITSTALLSTR. 7, 37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* **bk@bernoulli.org**